

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

ELECTRONIC

01/13/2009

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/752,683	01/08/2004	Hirofumi Muratani	247273US2SRD DIV	5927	
22850 7590 01/13/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			GYORFI, THOMAS A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2435			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary 10/752,683 MURATANI, HIROFUMI		Application No.	Applicant(s)
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) **Ihomas Gyorfi** (Examiner).** (2) **Michael Gellner (Applicant's representative).** (3) (2) **Michael Gellner (Applicant's representative).** (4) Date of Interview: **09 **December 2008.** Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.	Interview Comment	10/752,683	MURATANI, HIROFUMI
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) *Ihomas Gyoff (Examiner).** (2) *Michael Geilner (Applicant's representative).** (4) Date of Interview: *09 *December 2008.** Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: *4-8. 14-19, and 23-27. Identification of prior art discussed: *MA. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *Examiner agreed to reconsider any amendment to the claims in cooperation with the 101 Help Panel in view of the new guidenlines for patentability under In re Bliski, which was decided subseque to the prior Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT (SIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
(1) Thomas Gyoffi (Examiner). (2) Michael Geilner (Applicant's representative). (3)		Thomas Gyorfi	2435
(2) Michael Gellner (Applicant's representative). (4) Date of Interview: 09 December 2008. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference o\infty Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 4-8. 14-19. and 23-27. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed to reconsider any amendment to the claims in cooperation with the 101 Help Panel in view of the new guidentines for patentability under In re Bilski, which was decided subseque to the prior Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT is GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
Date of Interview: 09 December 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐	(1) <u>Thomas Gyorfi (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:	(2) Michael Gellner (Applicant's representative).	(4)	
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) Applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:	Date of Interview: 09 December 2008.		
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 4-8, 14-19, and 23-27. Identification of prior art discussed: MA. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed to reconsider any amendment to the claims in cooperation with the 101 Help Panel in view of the new guidentlines for patentability under in re Biski, which was decided subseque to the prior Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been flied, APPLICANT (GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICCHEVER IS LATER, FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview	Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed to reconsider any amendment to the claims in cooperation with the 101 Help Panel in view of the new guidenlines for patentability under In re Bilski, which was decided subseque to the prior Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IGNEN AND NEXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF FONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS PROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview		e)⊠ No.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed to reconsider any amendment to the claims in cooperation with the 101 Help Panel in view of the new guidenlines for patentability under In re Bilski, which was decided subseque to the prior Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IGNEN AND ALTER AND ALTER ASTAINED OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS (MONTH) INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview	Claim(s) discussed: <u>4-8, 14-19, and 23-27</u> .		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner agreed to reconsider any amendment to the claims in cooperation with the 101 Help Panel in view of the new guidenlines for patentability under In re Bilski, which was decided subseque to the prior Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT is GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview</u>	Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed to reconsider any amendment to the claims in cooperation with the 101 Help Panel in view of the new guidenlines for patentability under In re Bilski, which was decided subseque to the prior Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DAYMARY FORM, WHICCHEVER IS LATER, FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached.	g) was not reached. h) ⊠ I	N/A.
Togetherine of terrine olde of off attached arrow.			
	to the prior Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE.	rentability under In re Bilski, wh adments which the examiner ac copy of the amendments that wed.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE le last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	ich was decided subseque greed would render the cla would render the claims E SUBSTANCE OF THE y been filed, APPLICANT I Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER,
/Thomas Gyorfi/	To the prior Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONE INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SU	rentability under In re Bilski, wh adments which the examiner ac copy of the amendments that wed.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE le last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	ich was decided subseque greed would render the cla would render the claims E SUBSTANCE OF THE y been filed, APPLICANT I Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER,